Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,748	OH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sai-Ming Chan	2616
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Sai-Ming Chan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Bushnell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2008	Time: <u>11:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 9 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner and Mr. Robert Bushnell discussed several informalities in claims 1, 9 and 10. It was agreed to correct the informalities in the claims via an Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)